UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
	X
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE	:
COMMISSION,	:
Plaintiff,	: : 19 Civ. 09439 (PKC)
V.	ECF Case
TELEGRAM GROUP INC. and TON ISSUER INC.,	: :
Defendant.	: :
	X

MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO PROCEED UNDER PSEUDONYM

BACKGROUND

Investor E is a non-party to this litigation that has cooperated with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC")'s inquiry into and action against Defendants concerning their offering of digital-asset securities called "Grams." As part of this cooperation and pursuant to a Protective Order entered in this case, Investor E (i) produced documents, including internal emails and presentations; and also (ii) submitted a declaration detailing Investor E's analysis of and decision to purchase Grams (hereinafter, the "Confidential Materials"). The declaration was submitted with the SEC's summary judgment papers. Other Confidential Materials produced by Investor E and referencing Investor E have served as exhibits to other SEC filings on the public docket. (*See, e.g.,* Dkt. Nos. 43-3, 43-7, 44-1). The SEC has currently redacted information concerning the identity of Investor E, employees of Investor E, and other information that would reveal the identity of Investor E from certain documents on the public docket. Other documents

revealing Investor E's identity have been inadvertently filed publicly by the SEC, an error that we understand they are endeavoring to correct.

Investor E now appears solely for the purpose of securing an order from this Court sealing in whole or in part the documents on the public docket that include Investor E's identifying information. Investor E's motion to seal and the memorandum accompanying that motion, which are being filed simultaneously with the motion to proceed under pseudonym, set forth why proprietary-information, privacy, and cooperation interests outweigh the presumption in favor of public access to the Confidential Materials. Revealing Investor E's identity on the docket in connection with its filing of the motion to seal would defeat the very purpose of that motion. For the reasons set forth below, the Court should prevent that unnecessary harm and allow Investor E to proceed under pseudonym for the purpose of moving to seal.

ARGUMENT

Although there is a general presumption of openness in the federal court system that disfavors anonymous litigation, persons will nevertheless be permitted to litigate under pseudonyms where substantial privacy interests are at stake. *See*, *e.g.*, *Sealed Plaintiff v. Sealed Defendant*, 537 F.3d 185, 189–90 (2d Cir. 2008). A motion to proceed anonymously is entrusted to the discretion of the district court. *Barth v. Kaye*, 178 F.R.D. 371, 376 (S.D.N.Y. 1998).

In this jurisdiction, factors commonly weighed in considering a party's motion to proceed under a pseudonym include the party's right to privacy and security, harm to the parties which would stem from falsification of names, and the public interest in identification of litigants. *See, e.g., Sealed Plaintiff*, 537 F.3d at 189–90. Because Investor E possesses a substantial privacy interest in proceeding under pseudonym, and because doing so will

cause little or no harm to the parties or to the public, the Court should grant its motion to proceed under pseudonym.

First, non-party Investor E seeks to appear in these proceedings for the limited purpose of protecting its identity from public disclosure. As the memorandum in support of Investor E's motion to seal explains, if the identity of Investor E is revealed, the public would be able to identify the analysis set forth in the declaration submitted by Investor E, causing it significant economic harm: Investor E's most valuable proprietary information, its investment strategy, would be public knowledge. The other Confidential Materials filed by the SEC on the public docket disclose similar information regarding Investor E, including the identity of its employees and its proprietary investment strategies. The Court should allow Investor E to proceed by pseudonym to protect its important confidentiality interests. Indeed, forcing Investor E to appear under its own name would cause it the very harm it seeks to prevent.

Second, the SEC has endeavored to protect Investor E's identity thus far in the action, and no party to the action would be prejudiced by Investor E's proceeding under a pseudonym. Investor E does not seek to bring any claims against any party. The SEC's papers do not purport to rely on the specific identities of Investor E or its employees. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. No. 79 at 7; Dkt. No. 80 at ¶¶ 154–171. Where Investor E would suffer significant damage from the publication of its identity, no party will suffer from its continued anonymity.

Third, disclosure of Investor E's identity would not further the public's interest in the litigation. Investor E is an "innocent non-part[y]," *Sealed Plaintiff*, 537 F.3d at 189 (internal quotation marks and citation omitted), and its role in the underlying conduct giving rise to the action is peripheral. Investor E's identity is immaterial to this action and its revelation furthers no public interest.

Case 1:19-cv-09439-PKC Document 130 Filed 02/04/20 Page 4 of 4

Fourth, there is no alternative mechanism available that would protect Investor E's identity

from the public. If the Court were to deny the motion, Investor E's name would necessarily appear

on the docket and become publicly available. This, in turn, would allow members of the public to

connect the investment strategies discussed in the Confidential Materials with Investor E.

Allowing Investor E to proceed under pseudonym is the only way to protect its privacy interests

here.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court should issue an order permitting Investor E to

proceed under pseudonym.

Dated: February 4, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

S/ Charles A. Brown

Charles A. Brown

CBrown@goodwinlaw.com

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP

The New York Times Building

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, NY 10018

Tel.: +1 212 813 8800

Fax: +1 212 355 3333

Attorneys for Non-Party Investor E

-4-